TC 3 90 FILED

FEB 02 1990

COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

## nnny

## BEFORE THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

In re the Matter of
HONORABLE DOUGLAS E. GOELZ,
Judge,
Pacific County District Court,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

NO. 89-827

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO WAC 292-12-010(6) AND ORDER OF ADMONISHMENT

Pursuant to WAC 292-12-010(6) of the Commission on Judicial Conduct Rules as revised and adopted on December 5, 1989 ("CJCR"). The Commission on Judicial Conduct ("Commission") and Honorable Douglas E. Goelz, ("Respondent"), judge of the District Court of Pacific County, Longview, Washington do hereby stipulate and agree as provided for herein. The Commission has been represented in these proceedings by David D. Hoff of Riddell, Williams, Bullitt & Walkinshaw and the Respondent has been represented by Richard F. Broz and Alice L. Blanchard of Mikkelborg, Broz, Wells & Fryer.

## STIPULATION

- 1. Respondent hereby stipulates that while serving in his capacity as a part time District Court Judge of Pacific County, Longview, Washington, on December 6, 1988, he entered into a contract with the Pacific County Prosecutor's office to act as an attorney handling paternity cases in Pacific County.
- 2. The Commission finds that Respondent's conduct, described above, was a technical violation of the Canons and although

STIP. AND AGREEMENT
PURSUANT TO WAC 292-12-010(6) - 1
c\ddh\2189-18\stip.agr

ORIGINAL

LAW OFFICES

Riddell, Williams, Bullitt & Walkinshaw
2100 SECURITY PACIFIC PLAZA · 777 108th AVENUE N.E.
BELLEVUE, WASHINGTON 98004
(206) 462-4500



7 8 9

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11 12

13

14 15

Commission

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

DDH\sm 1/11/90

STIP. AND AGREEMENT PURSUANT TO WAC 292-12-010(6) - 2 c\ddh\2189-18\stip.agr

inadvertent, does constitute a violation of Canons 1,2,3,(c)(1)(c), 3(c)(1)(d)(111) and 5(c)(1). Accordingly, pursuant to RCW 2.64.055 and 2.64.010(1), the Commission cautions Judge Goelz not to continue the conduct described in Paragraph 1 above.

## **AGREEMENT**

Respondent does hereby agree to accept admonishment as described in RCW 2.64.010(1) and further agrees that he will not repeat the violation in the future.

DATED this 19th day of January, 1990.

Mikkelborg, Broz, Wells & Fryer, Attorneys for Respondent

Douglas  $/\mathbf{E}$ 

Goélz,

ORDER OF ADMONISHMENT

Based upon the stipulation and agreement of Respondent set forth herein, the Commission hereby orders and Respondent is hereby admonished for violation of Canons 1,2,3,(c)(1)(c),3(c)(1)(d)(111)and 5(c)(1) of the Code of Judicial Conduct.

DATED this <u>SNd</u> day of <u>Film</u>

Wesley A. Nuxoll, Chairman

Commission on Judicial Conduct